arXiv:1302.5222v1 [math.RA] 21 Feb 2013

UNITARY AND SYMMETRIC UNITS OF A COMMUTATIVE GROUP ALGEBRA

V.A. BOVDI, A.N. GRISHKOV

Abstract. Let F be the field of two elements and G a finite abelian 2-group with an involutory automorphism. The extension of this automorphism to the group algebra FG is called an involutory involution. This determines the groups of unitary and symmetric normalized units of FG. We calculate the orders and the invariants of these subgroups.

Let F be the field of 2 elements, G a finite abelian 2-group with an automorphism η of order 2 and let $G_\eta = \{g \in G \mid \eta(g) = g\}$. By extending η to the group algebra FG, we obtain the involution

$$x = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g g \mapsto x^\eta = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g \eta(g)$$

on FG which we will call the η-canonical involution.

Let η be the η-canonical involution of the group algebra FG and let

$$G = H \times D, \tag{1}$$

where $H = \langle a_1\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_l\rangle$ and $D = \langle a_{l+1}\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_t\rangle \quad (l \le t)$, such that the generators $a_1, \dots, a_l$ are inverted by η and a_i is fixed by η for $i > l$. Such an involution is called *involutory*.

If $\eta(g) = g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$ then the involution η is called *canonical*.

In the group

$$V(FG) = \Big\{ \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g g \in FG \mid \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g = 1 \Big\}$$

of normalized units of FG we determine the following subgroups

$$S_\eta(FG) = \{x \in V(FG) \mid x^\eta = x\}, \qquad V_\eta(FG) = \{x \in V(FG) \mid x^\eta = x^{-1}\}$$

of symmetric and unitary units of $V(FG)$, respectively.

Our goal is to study the groups $V_\eta(FG)$ and $S_\eta(FG)$, where η is the involutory involution of the group algebra FG. The problem of determining the invariants and the basis of $V_*(FG)$ has been raised by S. P. Novikov (see [12], where $*$ is the canonical involution of FG). The solution for the canonical involution was given in [3]; this result was extended in [4, 5] for abelian p-groups G of odd order with an involutory involution. The solution provides bases and determines the invariants of the two subgroups formed by these units. Here we consider the orders and the invariants of these subgroups for 2-groups, but the question about the bases is left open.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16S34, 16U60; Secondary: 20C05.

Key words and phrases. group algebra, unitary unit, symmetric unit.

Supported by FAPESP Brasil and CnPQ.

Note that commutative modular group algebras have several applications in coding theory, cryptography (see [10, 11, 14]) and threshold logic (see [1]). For a noncommutative group algebra the study of unitary and symmetric units is an interesting problem on itself (see [6, 7, 8, 9]).

Our main result is the following.

Theorem. *Let F be a field of two elements and let $G = H \times D$ be a finite abelian 2-group with decomposition (1). Assume that η is an involutory involution of FG. Let $S_\eta(FG) = \{x \in V(FG) \mid x^\eta = x\}$ and $V_\eta(FG) = \{x \in V(FG) \mid x^\eta = x^{-1}\}$ be the groups of symmetric and unitary units of $V(FG)$, respectively. Then*

(i) $|S_\eta(FG)| = 2^{t_1}$, *where* $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}\big(|G| + |H[2]| \cdot |D|\big) - 1$,

(ii) $|S_\eta(FG)[2]| = 2^{t_3}$, *where*
$$t_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}\Big(|G| - |G^2| + |H[2]| \cdot (|D| + |D^2|) - 2|D^2|\Big);$$

(iii) $V_\eta(FG) = H \cdot (T(FG) \times V(FD)[2] \times W(FG))$ *and*
$$|V_\eta(FG)| = |H[2]| \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}(|G|+|H[2]|\cdot|D|)-|D^2|};$$

(iv) $V_\eta(FG)[2] = T(FG) \times V(FG)[2] \times W(FG)[2]$.

Corollary. *([3]) If G is a finite abelian 2-group and $\eta(g) = g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$ (i.e. the involution is canonical) then*
$$|V_*(FG)| = |G^2[2]| \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}(|G|+|G[2])-1}.$$

If H is a subgroup of G, then we denote the left transversal of G with respect to H by $\mathfrak{R}_l(G/H)$. We denote the ideal of FG generated by the elements $h-1$ for $h \in H$ by $\mathfrak{I}(H)$. Furthermore $FG/\mathfrak{I}(H) \cong F[G/H]$ and
$$V(FG)/\big(1 + \mathfrak{I}(H)\big) \cong V\big(F[G/H]\big).$$
Denote the subgroup of G generated by elements of order p^n by $G[p^n]$.

We shall use the following homomorphisms:
$$\psi_1 : V(FG) \to V_\eta(FG) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 : V(FG) \to S_\eta(FG), \tag{2}$$
given by $\psi_1(x) = x^\eta x^{-1}$ and $\psi_2(x) = x^\eta x$, respectively, where $x \in V(FG)$.

It will be convenient to have a short temporary name for the finite abelian 2-groups G with η-canonical involution which satisfy the composition (1). Let us call the groups G with this property *good*.

Let G be a good group. Denote by D_1 the subgroup of H generated by the generators $a_1, \dots, a_l$ from the decomposition (1) of order 4. If $H = H_1 \times D_1$, then
$$G = H_1 \times D_1 \times D. \tag{3}$$
Let G be a good group and $X = G[2] = H[2]D[2]$. It is easy to see that there exists the subset $E(G) \subseteq G \setminus G_\eta$ such that
$$G \setminus G_\eta = E(G) \cup \eta\big(E(G)\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad E(G) \cap \eta\big(E(G)\big) = \emptyset.$$
Put
$$\begin{aligned}
&\Xi(G) = \{\ \xi_g = (g, \eta(g)) = \xi_{\eta(g)} = (\eta(g), g) \ \mid\ g \in E(G)\ \};\\
&\Xi_0(G) = \{\ (g, \eta(g)) \ \mid\ g^2 = \eta(g^2)\ \} \subseteq \Xi(G);\\
&\Xi_1(G) = \Xi(G) \setminus \Xi_0(G).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi_g \in \Xi_0(G)$. By (1) we have $g = hd$, where $h \in H$, $d \in D$ and $\eta(g) = hdh^{-2}$. Since $\xi_g \in \Xi_0(G)$, we get $h^4 = 1$, but $h^2 \neq 1$. This yields that

$$|\Xi_0(G)| = \tfrac{(|H[4]|-|H[2]|)\cdot|D|}{2} = \tfrac{|H[2]|(|H^2[2]|-1)\cdot|D|}{2}.$$

Define the action of the group $X = G[2]$ on the set $\Xi_1(G)$ by

$$(g, \eta(g))^a = (ga, \eta(g)a).$$

Denote by $\overline{\Xi(G)}$ the set of the orbits of that action. As the group X acts freely on $\overline{\Xi(G)}$, each X-orbit has cardinality $|X|$ and the number of orbits in $\Xi(G)$ is

$$|\overline{\Xi(G)}| = \tfrac{|\Xi_1(G)|}{|X|} = \tfrac{|G^2|-|H^2[2]|\cdot|D^2|}{2}.$$

For each $\xi_g \in \Xi(G)$ we define $\hat{\xi}_g = g + \eta(g) \in FG$. Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \overline{\Xi(G)}$ we define $\hat{\xi}_\lambda = \hat{\xi}_t$, where $\xi_t \in \lambda$. Note that $0 \neq \hat{\xi}_{g^2} = \hat{\xi}_{g_1^2}$ holds if and only if

$$(g, \eta(g))^a = (g_1, \eta(g_1)), \qquad (\text{ i.e. } \quad \xi_{ga} = \xi_{g_1}).$$

Indeed, $g^2 + \eta(g)^2 = g_1^2 + \eta(g_1)^2$ implies either $g^2 = g_1^2$ or $g^2 = \eta(g_1)^2$. In the first case $(gg_1^{-1})^2 = 1$, so $a = gg_1^{-1} \in X$ and $\xi_{g_1}^a = \xi_g$. The second case is the same, because $\xi_{g_1}^a = \xi_g$, where $a = g\eta(g_1)^{-1} \in X$.

Lemma 1. *If G is a good group, then the following conditions hold:*

(i) $|S_\eta(FG)| = 2^{t_1}$, *where* $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}\big(|G| + |H[2]|\cdot|D|\big) - 1$*;*

(ii) $|S_\eta(FG)^2| = 2^{t_2}$, *where*

$$t_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}\Big(|G^2| - |D^2|\cdot(|H[2]|-2)\Big) - 1;$$

(iii) $|S_\eta(FG)[2]| = 2^{t_3}$, *where*

$$t_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}\Big(|G| - |G^2| + |H[2]|\cdot(|D| + |D^2|) - 2|D^2|\Big).$$

Proof. Since $G_\eta = H[2] \times D$, each $x \in S_\eta(FG)$ can be uniquely written as

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \textstyle\sum_{g\in E(G)} \alpha_g\big(g + \eta(g)\big) + \sum_{g\in G_\eta} \beta_g g \\ &= \sum_{\xi_g \in \Xi(G)} \alpha_g \hat{\xi}_g + \sum_{g \in G_\eta} \beta_g g, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\sum_{g\in G_\eta} \beta_g = 1$ and $\alpha_g, \beta_g \in F$.

Clearly $|E(G)| = |\Xi(G)| = \frac{|G|-|G_\eta|}{2}$, so in (4) we have

$$s - 1 = \tfrac{|G|-|G_\eta|}{2} + |G_\eta| - 1$$

independent parameters. This yields that $|S_\eta(FG)| = 2^{s-1}$.

Again by (4), the symmetric unit x^2 has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= \textstyle\sum_{g\in E(G)} \alpha_g^2\Big(g^2 + \eta(g)^2\Big) + \sum_{g \in H[2]\times D} \beta_g g^2 \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{\xi_\lambda \in \overline{\Xi(G)}} \Big(\sum_{g\in\lambda} \alpha_g\Big)^2 \hat{\xi}_\lambda^2 + \sum_{y \in D^2} \Big(\sum_{g \in yX} \beta_g\Big)^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

Let $H[2]\times D=\cup_{i=1}^{m} d_iX$, where $\{d_1=1,d_2,\dots,d_m\}\subseteq D$. For any $x\in d_iX$ we have $x^2=d_i^2$ and $d_i^2\neq d_j^2$. This yields that in the decomposition of x^2 we can rewrite

$$\sum_{y\in D^2}\Big(\sum_{g\in yX}\beta_g\Big)^2 y=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\Big(\sum_{g\in d_iX}\beta_g\Big)d_i^2,$$

where $m=\frac{|D||H[2]|}{|D[2]||H[2]|}=|D^2|$, so

$$x^2=\textstyle\sum_{\xi_\lambda\in\overline{\Xi(G)}}\Big(\sum_{g\in\lambda}\alpha_g\Big)^2\hat{\xi}_\lambda^2+\sum_{i=1}^{|D^2|}\Big(\sum_{g\in d_iX}\beta_g\Big)d_i^2.$$

Clearly, the number of independent parameters is

$$t_2=|\overline{\Xi(G)}|+|D^2|-1=\tfrac{1}{2}(|G^2|-|D^2|\cdot(|H^2[2]|-2))-1,$$

so part (ii) is proven.

Finally $|S_\eta(FG)[2]|=2^{t_3}$, where $t_3=t_1-t_2$. □

Lemma 2. *Let G be a finite abelian 2-group and $char(F)=2$. Then the following conditions hold.*

(i) *The ideal $\mathfrak{I}(G[2])$ has the following F-basis in FG:*

$$\{\ u_i(g-1)\mid\quad 1\neq g\in G[2],\quad u_i\in R_l(G/G[2])\ \},$$

where $R_l(G/G[2])$ is a left transversal of G by the subgroup $G[2]$.

(ii) *The elementary abelian 2-group $V(FG)[2]$ coincides with $1+\mathfrak{I}(G[2])$, where $\mathfrak{I}(G[2])$ is the ideal of FG.*

(iii) *Let G be a good group. If $P=H[2]\times D$ and $\mathfrak{I}(P[2])$ is the ideal of FP, then $|1+\mathfrak{I}(P[2])|=2^l$, where $l=|H[2]|\cdot|D|-|D^2|$.*

Proof. (i) This follows from Lemma 2.2 in p.7 of [2].
(ii) Indeed, every element u of the group $1+\mathfrak{I}(G[2])$ has the form

$$1+\sum_{h\in G[2]}x_h(h-1),\qquad (x_h\in FG)$$

and $u^2=1+\sum_{h\in G[2]}x_h^2(h-1)^2=1$. Hence $1+\mathfrak{I}(G[2])\subseteq V(FG)[2]$. Conversely, let

$$u=\sum_{i=1}^{t}c_ix_i\in V(FG)[2]\quad\textit{and}\quad x_i=\sum_{h\in G[2]}\beta_h^{(i)}h\in FG[2],$$

where $c_1=1,c_2,\dots,c_t\in R_l(G/G[2])$ and $\beta_h^{(i)}\in F$. Furthermore

$$u^2=\sum_{i=1}^{t}c_i^2x_i^2=1\quad\text{and}\quad x_i^2=\sum_{h\in G[2]}\beta_h^{(i)}\in F.$$

The elements $c_1^2,\dots,c_t^2$ are pairwise different. Indeed, if $c_i^2=c_j^2$ then $(c_i^{-1}c_j)^2=1$ and $c_i^{-1}c_j\in G[2]$, so $c_iG[2]=c_jG[2]$, which is possible only for $i=j$. Consequently $\sum_{h\in G}\beta_h^{(i)}=\gamma_i\in F$ and we can assume that $\gamma_1=1$ and $\gamma_2=\dots=\gamma_t=0$. Hence

$$x_1=1+\sum_{h\in G[2]}\beta_h^{(1)}(h-1)\in 1+\mathfrak{I}(G[2])$$

and

$$x_i=\sum_{h\in G[2]}\beta_h^{(i)}(h-1)\in\mathfrak{I}(G[2]),\qquad (i\geq 2).$$

This proves that $V(FG)[2] = 1 + \mathfrak{I}(G[2])$.
(iii) By (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} l &= |(H[2] \times D) : (D[2] \times H[2])| \cdot (|H[2] \times D[2]| - 1) \\ &= \tfrac{|D|\cdot|H[2]|}{|D[2]|\cdot|H[2]|}(|D[2]| \cdot |H[2]| - 1) \\ &= |H[2]| \cdot |D| - |D^2|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. *Let G be a good group. The subgroup*

$$W(FG) = \{x^\eta x^{-1} \mid x \in V(FG)\} \le V_\eta(FG)$$

has the following properties:

(i) $G \cap W(FG) = H^2$;

(ii) $W(FG^{2^i}) = W(FG)^{2^i}$ *and* $|W(FG)^{2^i}| = 2^l$, *where*

$$l = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(|G^{2^i}| - |H^{2^i}[2]| \cdot |D^{2^i}|\big);$$

(iii) $|W(FG)[2]| = 2^l$, *where*

$$l = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(|G| - |G^2| - |H[2]| \cdot (|D| - |D^2|)\big).$$

Proof. Suppose that $x^\eta x^{-1} = g$ for some $g \in G$ and $x \in V(FG)$. First let $g \in G\backslash G^2$. Then there exists a maximal subgroup G_1 of index 2 in G such that $g \notin G_1$ and

$$x + \mathfrak{I}(G_1) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 g + \mathfrak{I}(G_1) \qquad (\alpha_i \in F, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1).$$

In view of $gx = x^\eta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 g + \mathfrak{I}(G_1) = x + \mathfrak{I}(G_1) &= g^{-1}x^\eta + \mathfrak{I}(G_1) \\ &= \alpha_1 g + \alpha_2 + \mathfrak{I}(G_1) \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, a contradiction. Hence $g \in G^2$ and $g = h^2 d^2$ for some $h \in H, d \in D$. If we put $y = xh$, then

$$h^2 d^2 = g = x^\eta x^{-1} = y^\eta y^{-1} h^2,$$

so $d^2 = y^\eta y^{-1}$. Clearly, $y^\eta = d^2 y$ and $y = (y^\eta)^\eta = (d^2 y)^\eta = d^2 y^\eta$, hence $|d^2| = 2$. Now if $y = \sum_{h\in H} \alpha_h h, \quad (\alpha_h \in FD)$, then from $y^\eta = yd^2$ we have

$$\sum_{h\in H} \alpha_h h^{-1} = \sum_{h\in H} \alpha_h h d^2.$$

This yields $\alpha_{h^{-1}} = \alpha_h d^2$ (for any h) and $\sum_{h\in H} \alpha_h = \sum_{h\in H} \alpha_h d^2$. Therefore $(\sum_{h\in H} \alpha_h)(1 + d^2) = 0$ and $\sum_{h\in H} \alpha_h = (1 + d^2)z$ for some z. Clearly

$$1 = \chi(y) = \chi\big(\sum_{h\in H} \alpha_h\big) = 2\chi(z) = 0,$$

a contradiction. Therefore $G \cap W(FG) \subseteq H^2$.

Now $h^2 = (h^{-1})^{-1}(h^{-1})^\eta$, so $H^2 \subseteq W(FG)$ and $G \cap W(FG) = H^2$.

(ii) By (2) the map ψ_1 is a homomorphism of the group $V(FG)$ onto $W(FG)$ and $\mathfrak{Ker}(\psi_1) = S_\eta(FG)$. Then $|W(FG)| = |V(FG) : S_\eta(FG)|$. Since $|V(FG)| = 2^{|G|-1}$, Lemma 1(ii) ensures the proof for $i = 0$.

Clearly, $W(FG^2) \supseteq W(FG)^2$. Indeed, if $x = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g g \in FG$ then $x^2 = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g g^2 \in FG^2$ and

$$(x^\eta x^{-1})^2 = (x^2)^\eta (x^2)^{-1} \in W(FG^2).$$

Conversely, if $x^\eta x^{-1} \in W(FG^2)$ then $x = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_{g^2} g^2$ and put $z = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_{g^2} g$. Clearly, $z^2 = x$ and

$$(x^\eta x^{-1}) = (z^2)^\eta (z^2)^{-1} = (z^\eta z^{-1})^2 \in W(FG)^2.$$

Therefore, $W(FG)^2 = W(FG^2)$ and $W(FG)^{2^i} = W(FG^{2^i})$ for any $i \geq 0$.

Obviously by (3), we have $G^2 = H_1^2 \times D_1^2 \times D^2$. Since

$$|H[2]| = |H^2[2]| = |H_1^2[2]| \cdot |D_1^2|$$

and $W(FG)^2 = W(FG^2)$, by Lemma 2(ii) we obtain that

$$|W(FG^2)| = |V(FG^2) : S_\eta(FG^2)| = 2^{\frac{1}{2}(|G^2| - |H^2[2]| \cdot |D^2|)}.$$

Now using induction on i the proof is done.

(iii) This follows from the equation $|W(FG)[2]| = |W(FG) : W(FG)^2|$. ☐

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t$. Define the following subsets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{L}(G) = \{ \quad & \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^t \quad \mid \quad \alpha_i \in \{0, \dots, q_i - 1\}, \\
& \text{and at least one of } \alpha_j \text{ is not divisible by } 2 \quad \}; \\
\mathfrak{L}_1(G) = \{ \quad & \alpha \in \mathfrak{L}(G) \quad \mid \quad \alpha_i \in \{0, q_i - 1\} \quad \forall i \leq l, \\
& \text{and} \quad \alpha_j \neq 0 \quad \text{at least for one} \quad j \leq l \quad \}; \\
\mathfrak{L}_2(G) = \{ \quad & \alpha \in \mathfrak{L}(G) \quad \mid \quad \alpha_i = 0, \quad \forall i \leq l, \\
& \textit{and} \quad \tfrac{q_j}{2} \leq \alpha_j \quad \text{at least for one} \quad j > l \quad \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Lemma 4. *Let $G = \langle a_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_t \rangle$ be a finite abelian 2-group. Then we have the following:*

(i) *For the unit*

$$u_\beta = 1 + (a_1 - 1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (a_t - 1)^{\beta_t}$$

with $0 \leq \beta_i < q_i = |a_i|$, denote by 2^{s_j} the highest power of 2 which is less than or equal to β_j. Then the order of the unit u_β coincides with minimum of the numbers $\frac{q_i}{2^{s_i}}$ taken over all those i for which $\beta_i \neq 0$.

(ii) *The set $\{u_\alpha = 1 + (a_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (a_t - 1)^{\alpha_t} \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{L}(G)\}$ is a basis for $V(FG)$ and $V(FG) = \times_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}(G)} \langle u_\alpha \rangle$, where the set $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ is defined by (5).*

Proof. See [13]. ☐

By Lemma 4 the direct product

$$T(FG) = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}_1(G)} \langle u_\alpha \rangle \leq V(FG) = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}(G)} \langle u_\alpha \rangle \tag{6}$$

is an elementary abelian 2-group of order

$$|T(FG)| = 2^{(|H[2]| - 1) \cdot |D|}, \tag{7}$$

where the sets $\mathfrak{L}(G), \mathfrak{L}_1(G)$ are defined by (5).

Lemma 5. *If G is a good group, then*

$$\Big(V(FD)[2] \times T(FG) \Big) \cap W(FG) = \langle 1 \rangle.$$

Proof. First we shall establish by induction on l that

$$T(FG) \cap W(FG) = \langle 1 \rangle. \tag{8}$$

Let $x = y^{-1}y^{\eta} \in T(FG)$. If $l = 1$, then by (1) we get that $G = \langle a_1 \rangle \times D$ and every u_{α} (see Lemma 4(i)) with $\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}_1(G)$ has the following form

$$u_{\alpha} = 1 + (a_1 - 1)^{q_1 - 1}(a_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (a_t - 1)^{\alpha_t},$$

where $a_2, \dots, a_t \in D$. For every $K \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_1(G)$ we have

$$\prod_{\alpha \in K} u_{\alpha} = 1 + (a_1 - 1)^{q_1 - 1} z = 1 + \widehat{H} z \qquad (z \in FD).$$

Consequently, by (6), each $x \in T(G)$ can be written as $x = 1 + \widehat{H}z$ for some $z \in FD$. Thus $y^{\eta} + y = y(x + 1) = \widehat{H}zy = \widehat{H}\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in F$, because the elements of D do not belong to the support of $y^{\eta} + y$. Clearly, if $\alpha = 0$ then $x = 1$, as required. Let $\alpha = 1$ and $y = \sum_{d \in D} \beta_d d$ for a suitable $\beta_d \in FH$. From

$$y + y^{\eta} = \sum_{d \in D} (\beta_d + \beta_d^{\eta}) d = \widehat{H}$$

it follows that $\beta_1 + \beta_1^{\eta} = \widehat{H}$, but this contradicts the fact that the support of the element $\beta_1 + \beta_1^{\eta}$ does not contain elements of order two.

Finally, let $l > 1$. By (6) each $x = y^{-1}y^{\eta} \in T(FG)$ can be written as a product of u_{α} with $\alpha \in L_1$ and every u_{α} has the form

$$1 + (a_{j_1} + 1)^{q_{j_1} - 1}(a_{j_2} + 1)^{q_{j_2} - 1} \cdots (a_{j_k} + 1)^{q_{j_k} - 1} z,$$

where $j_i \leq l$ and $z \in FD$. In view of

$$F[G/\langle a_i \rangle] \cong FG/\mathfrak{I}(\langle a_i \rangle),$$

by the induction hypothesis, $x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{I}(\langle a_i \rangle)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. It follows that

$$x = 1 + (a_1 + 1)^{q_1 - 1}(a_2 + 1)^{q_2 - 1} \cdots (a_l + 1)^{q_t - 1} z = 1 + \widehat{H} z$$

for some $z \in FD$. Now, similarly to the case $l = 1$, we get $x = 1$, so (8) is proved.

Finally suppose that

$$wv \in \big(V(FD)[2] \times T(FG)\big) \cap W(FG)$$

for some $w \in V(FD)[2]$ and $v \in T(FG)$. Then $y^{-1}y^{\eta} = wv$ for some unit y. Of course, $y^{\eta}\widehat{H} = y\widehat{H}$ and $u_{\alpha}\widehat{H} = \widehat{H}$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}_1(G)$. Therefore $v\widehat{H} = \widehat{H}$ and

$$y\widehat{H} = y^{\eta}\widehat{H} = wvy\widehat{H} = wy\widehat{H}.$$

Since y is a unit, $\widehat{H} = w\widehat{H}$, which is impossible. The proof is done. □

Lemma 6. *Let G be a good group. Then the following conditions hold:*

(i) $V_{\eta}(FG)[2] = V(FG)[2] \times W(FG)[2] \times T(FG)$;
(ii) $V_{\eta}(FG)^2 \cap V(FD)[2] = \langle 1 \rangle$;
(iii) $V_{\eta}(FG)^2 \cap V(F[D_1^2 \times D])[2] = D_1^2$.

Proof. (i) It is easy to check that

$$S_{\eta}(FG) \cap V_{\eta}(FG) = S_{\eta}(FG)[2] = V_{\eta}(FG)[2],$$

so by Lemma 2(iii) the 2-rank of $V_{\eta}(FG)[2]$ equals

$$s = \tfrac{1}{2}(|G| - |G^2| + |H[2]| \cdot (|D| + |D^2|)) - |D^2|.$$

By Lemma 5, in $V(FG)$ there is a subgroup $V(FG)[2]\times W(FG)[2]\times T(FG)$, whose 2-rank is also equal to s by Lemmas 3(iii), 2(iii) and by equation (7). Therefore, part (i) of our lemma holds.

(ii) Let $y\in V_\eta(FG)^2\cap V(FD)[2]\neq\langle 1\rangle$. Clearly there exists $x\in V_\eta(FG)$ of order 4 such that $x^2=y$. Put $x=\sum_{h\in H}\alpha_h h$, where $\alpha_h\in FD$. Since $x^2x=x^\eta$ and $Supp(x^2)\subseteq D$, we obtain that

$$x^2\sum_{h\in H}\alpha_h h=\sum_{h\in H}(x^2\alpha_h)h=\sum_{h\in H}\alpha_h h^{-1}.$$

Consequently $x^2\alpha_h=\alpha_{h^{-1}}$ for all $h\in H$ and

$$x^2\sum_{h\in H}\alpha_h=\sum_{h\in H}\alpha_h.$$

Since $1=\chi(x)=\chi(\sum_{h\in H}\alpha_h)$, so $(\sum_{h\in H}\alpha_h)$ is a unit and $x^2=1$, a contradiction.

(iii) Let $G=H_1\times D_1\times D$ (see (2)). It is easy to check that

$$D_1^2\subseteq V_\eta(FG)^2\cap V(F[D_1^2\times D])[2].$$

Let

$$x=\sum_{h\in H_1}\Big(\sum_{u\in D_1\setminus D_1[2]}\beta_u^{(h)}u+\gamma_h\Big)h\in V_\eta(FG)$$

be a nontrivial η-unitary unit such that

$$x^2\in V_\eta(FG)^2\cap V(F[D_1^2\times D])[2]\setminus D_1^2,$$

where $\beta_u^{(h)},\gamma_h\in F[D_1^2\times D]$.

It is easy to see that, if $\sum_{u\in D_1\setminus D_1[2]}\chi(\beta_u^{(h)})=0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}1&=\chi(x)\\&=\sum_{h\in H_1}\Big(\sum_{u\in D_1\setminus D_1[2]}\chi(\beta_u^{(h)})+\chi(\gamma_h)\Big)=\sum_{h\in H_1}\chi(\gamma_h).\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Clearly $\quad(\beta_u^{(h)})^\eta=\beta_u^{(h)},\quad\gamma_h^\eta=\gamma_h\quad$ and

$$x^\eta=\sum_{h\in H_1}\Big(\sum_{u\in D_1\setminus D_1[2]}(\beta_u^{(h)}u^2)u+\gamma_h\Big)h^{-1}.$$

Similarly to the previous case, from $x^{-1}=x^2x=x^\eta$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{u\in D_1\setminus D_1[2]}\big(x^2\beta_u^{(h)}\big)u+\big(x^2\gamma_h\big)=\sum_{u\in D_1\setminus D_1[2]}\big(\beta_u^{(h^{-1})}u^2\big)u+\gamma_{h^{-1}},$$

for all $h\in H_1$. Consequently for every u and h we get that

$$x^2\beta_u^{(h)}=\beta_u^{(h^{-1})}u^2,\qquad x^2\gamma_h=\gamma_{h^{-1}}.\tag{10}$$

If $\sum_{u\in D_1\setminus D_1[2]}\chi(\beta_u^{(h)})=0$, then from the second equation of (10) we have

$$x^2\big(\sum_{h\in H_1}\gamma_h\big)=\sum_{h\in H_1}\gamma_{h^{-1}}.$$

The element $\sum_{h\in H_1}\gamma_h$ is a unit by (9), so from the last equation we have that $x^2=1$, a contradiction. If $\sum_{u\in D_1\setminus D_1[2]}\chi(\beta_u^{(h)})=1$, then from the first equation of (10) we obtain that

$$x^2\Big(\sum_{h\in H_1}\beta_u^{(h)}\Big)=u^2\Big(\sum_{h\in H_1}\beta_u^{(h^{-1})}\Big),$$

so $x^2=u^2\in D_1^2$ by (9), again a contradiction. □

Lemma 7. *If G is a good group, then $V_\eta(FG)^2\cap T(FG^2)=\langle 1\rangle$.*

Proof. If $D_1=\langle a_{l-r}\rangle\times\langle a_{l-r+1}\rangle\times\cdots\times\langle a_l\rangle$, then we define the following set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{L}_3(G)=\{\quad \alpha\in\mathfrak{L}(G)\quad &|\quad \alpha_i\in\{0,\tfrac{q_i}{2}-1\}\quad\text{for}\quad i\le l-r,\\ &\text{and}\quad \alpha_j\neq 0\quad\text{at least for one}\quad j\le l-r,\\ &\text{and}\quad 0\le\alpha_i\le\tfrac{q_i}{2}-1\quad\text{for}\quad l-r+1\le i\le t\quad\}.\end{aligned}$$

Define the following elementary abelian 2-subgroup (see (6)):

$$T(FG^2)=\prod_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{L}_3(G)}\langle u_\alpha\rangle\le V(FG)=\prod_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{L}(G)}\langle u_\alpha\rangle, \tag{11}$$

where the set $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ is defined by (5).

Let $h\in H$ be of order $|h|=q>2$. Let us prove that

$$(h^{-1}+1)^{q-k}=(h+1)^{q-k},\qquad (k=1,2,4). \tag{12}$$

We shall use the following equation

$$h^{-1}+1=(h+1)+(h+1)^2+\cdots+(h+1)^{q-1}. \tag{13}$$

Clearly, (12) holds for $k=1$ by (13).

Let $h\in H_1$ be of order $q>4$. Now using (12) for $k=1$, we get that

$$(h^{-1}+1)^{q-4}=(h^{-4}+1)^{\frac{q}{4}-1}=(h^4+1)^{\frac{q}{4}-1}=(h+1)^{q-4}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}(h^{-1}+1)^{q-3}&=(h^{-1}+1)^{q-4}(h^{-1}+1)=(h+1)^{q-4}(h^{-1}+1)\\&=(h+1)^{q-3}+(h+1)^{q-2}+(h+1)^{q-1}\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}(h^{-1}+1)^{q-2}&=(h^{-1}+1)^{q-3}(h^{-1}+1)\\&=((h+1)^{q-3}+(h+1)^{q-2}+(h+1)^{q-1})(h^{-1}+1)\\&=(h+1)^{q-2}+(h+1)^{q-1}+(h+1)^{q-1}\\&=(h+1)^{q-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $|q|>4$, then for any symmetric element s, the element $1+(h+1)^{q-3}s$ has order 2 and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1\Big(1+(h+1)^{q-3}s\Big)&=(1+(h^{-1}+1)^{q-3}s)(1+(h+1)^{q-3}s)\\&=(1+(h+1)^{q-3}s)(1+(h+1)^{q-3}s)(1+(h+1)^{q-2}s)(1+(h+1)^{q-1}s)\\&=(1+(h+1)^{q-2}s)(1+(h+1)^{q-1}s).\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

Finally if $|h|=4$, then by direct calculation

$$1+(h^{-1}+1)s=(1+(h+1)s)(1+(h+1)^2s)(1+(h+1)^3(s+s^2)$$

and

$$\psi_1\Big(1+(h+1)s\Big)=(1+(h+1)^2s)(1+(h+1)^3(s+s^2).$$

Clearly, if $s^2=0$, the last equation coincides with (14).

Assume that $M=T(FG^2)\cap V_\eta(FG)^2\neq\langle 1\rangle$. Then exists a nontrivial unitary unit x of order 4, such that $x^2\in M$. Clearly $x^2=\psi_1(x^{-1})\in W(FG)$ and $(x^2)^\eta=(x^\eta x)^2x^{-2}=x^2\in S_\eta(FG)$. By (11) we obtain that

$$x^2=u_\alpha u_\beta\cdots=u_\alpha v, \tag{15}$$

where $v=u_\beta\cdots\in T(FG^2)$,

$$u_\alpha=1+(a_1^2+1)^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}-1}(a_2^2+1)^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}-1}\cdots(a_{l-r}^2+1)^{\frac{\alpha_{l-r}}{2}-1}w,$$

and $w=(a_{l-r+1}^2+1)^{\alpha_{l-r+1}}\cdots(a_t^2+1)^{\alpha_t}$. By (12) we have

$$u_\alpha^\eta=u_\alpha=1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-2}(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-2}\cdots(a_{l-r}^2+1)^{\alpha_{l-r}-2}w.$$

Define the unit $z_1=1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-3}s_1$, where

$$s_1=(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-2}\cdots(a_{l-r}^2+1)^{\alpha_{l-r}-2}w.$$

Clearly s_1 is a symmetric nilpotent element of index 2 and by (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1\Big(x^{-1}z_1\Big)&=\psi_1\big(x\big)^{-1}\psi_1\big(1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-3}s_1\big)\\&=(u_\alpha v)^{-1}u_\alpha(1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}s_1)\\&=v(1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}s_1).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, define the unit $z_2=1+(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-3}s_2$, where

$$s_2=(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}(a_3+1)^{\alpha_3-2}\cdots(a_{l-r}+1)^{\alpha_{l-r}-2}w.$$

The element s_2 is symmetric and

$$(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}s_1=(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-2}s_2.$$

Using the last equality and (14), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_1\Big((x^{-1}z_1)\cdot z_2\Big)=\\&\quad=\psi_1\big(x^{-1}(1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-3}s_1)\big)\cdot\psi_1\big(1+(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-3}s_2\big)\\&\quad=v(1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}s_1)\cdot[(1+(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-2}s_2)(1+(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-1}s_2)]\\&\quad=v(1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-1}(a_3+1)^{\alpha_3-2}\cdots(a_t+1)^{\alpha_t-2}).\end{aligned}$$

Now as before, put $z_3=1+(a_3+1)^{\alpha_3-3}s_3$, where

$$s_3=(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-1}(a_4+1)^{\alpha_4-2}\cdots(a_{l-r}^2+1)^{\alpha_{l-r}-2}w.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_1\Big((x^{-1}z_1)\cdot z_2\cdot z_3\Big)=\\&\qquad=v(1+(a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}\times(1+(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-1}\times(a_3+1)^{\alpha_3-1}\times\\&\qquad\quad\times(a_4+1)^{\alpha_4-2}\times\cdots\times(a_{l-r}+1)^{\alpha_{l-r}-2}w).\end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process we can construct elements $z_4,\dots,z_{l-r}$, such that

$$\psi_1\Big((x^{-1}z_1)\cdot z_2\cdots z_{l-r}\Big)=vw_\alpha\in W(FG),$$

where

$$w_\alpha = 1 + (a_1+1)^{\alpha_1-1}(a_2+1)^{\alpha_2-1}\cdots(a_{l-r}+1)^{\alpha_{l-r}-1}w.$$

We repeat this process for the elements $u_\beta, u_\gamma, \dots$ (see (15)) and obtain that

$$w_\alpha w_\beta \cdots \in W(FG).$$

Obviously $u_\beta, u_\gamma, \dots \in T(FG)$ (see (6)). Then by (8) we get that

$$w_\alpha w_\beta \cdots \in W(FG) \cap T(FG) = \langle 1 \rangle,$$

which is impossible because $u_\beta, u_\gamma, \dots$ are basis elements and their product does not equal 1. $\square$

Lemma 8. *If G is a good group, then*

$$V_\eta(FG) = H \cdot T(FG) \cdot V(FD)[2] \cdot W(FG)$$

and $|V_\eta(FG)| = |H[2]| \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}(|G|+|H[2]|\cdot|D|)-|D^2|}$.

Proof. Clearly $H \cap W(FG) = H^2$ and

$$H \cdot \big(T(FG) \times V(FD)[2] \times W(FG)\big) \leq V_\eta(FG)$$

by Lemmas 3(i) and 5.

We prove our statement using induction on the exponent of the group G.

Base of induction: for $exp(G) = 2$ it is true, because η is trivial, $W(FG) = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $V_\eta(FG) = V(FG)[2]$ by Lemma 6(i).

Clearly, $V_\eta(FG)^2 \subseteq V_\eta(FG^2)$ and $V_\eta(FG)^2 \subseteq W(FG)$, because

$$V_\eta(FG)^2 \ni x^2 = \psi_1(x^{-1}) \in W(FG), \qquad (x \in V_\eta(FG)).$$

Since $V(F[D^2 \times D_1^2])[2] = D_1^2 \times M$ for some M, we obtain that

$$\Big(M \times T(FG^2)\Big) \cap V_\eta(FG)^2 = \langle 1 \rangle, \tag{16}$$

by Lemmas 6 and 7.

By the inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} V_\eta(FG^2) &= H^2 \cdot \big(T(FG^2) \cdot V(F[D^2 \times D_1^2])[2] \cdot W(FG^2)\big) \\ &= H^2 \cdot \big(T(FG^2) \times M\big) \cdot W(FG^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\big(T(FG^2) \times M\big) \cdot V_\eta(FG)^2 \leq V_\eta(FG^2)$, then by (16) we have

$$|V_\eta(FG)^2| \leq |V_\eta(FG^2) : (T(FG^2) \times M)| \leq |H^2 \cdot W(FG^2)|.$$

Clearly $H^2 \cdot W(FG^2) = H^2 \cdot W(FG)^2 \subseteq V_\eta(FG)^2$ by Lemma 3(ii), so

$$|H^2 \cdot W(FG^2)| \leq |V_\eta(FG)^2|.$$

From the last two inequalities and by (16) we get that

$$V_\eta(FG)^2 = H^2 \cdot W(FG^2).$$

Since $H \cap W(FG^2) = H^4$ (by Lemma 3(i)), we have

$$|H^2 \cdot W(FG^2)| = |H^2[2]| \cdot |W(FG^2)| = |H[2]| \cdot |W(FG^2)|,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |V_\eta(FG)| &= |V_\eta(FG)^2| \cdot |V_\eta(FG)[2]| \\ &= |H^2 \cdot W(FG^2)| \cdot |V_\eta(FG)[2]| \\ &= |H[2]| \cdot |W(FG^2)| \cdot |V_\eta(FG)[2]|. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the 2-rank of $W(FG^2)\times V_\eta(FG)[2]$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\Big(|G^2|-|H^2[2]||D^2|+|G|-|G^2|+|H[2]|\cdot(|D|+|D^2|)\Big)-|D^2|\\ =\tfrac{1}{2}(|G|+|H[2]|\cdot|D|)-|D^2|\end{aligned}$$

and $\quad |V_\eta(FG)|=|H[2]|\cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}(|G|+|H[2]|\cdot|D|)-|D^2|}$. □

Proof of Theorem. Follows from Lemmas 3, 6 and 8. □

References

[1] N. N. Aĭzenberg, A. A. Bovdi, E. I. Gergo, and F. E. Geche. Algebraic aspects of threshold logic. *Cybernetics*, 2:26–30, 1980.
[2] A. A. Bovdi. Group rings. (Russian). *Kiev.UMK VO*, page 155, 1988.
[3] A. A. Bovdi and A. Szakács. The unitary subgroup of the multiplicative group of the modular group algebra of a finite abelian p-group. *Mat. Zametki*, 45(6):23–29, 110, 1989.
[4] A. A. Bovdi and A. Szakács. A basis for the unitary subgroup of the group of units in a finite commutative group algebra. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 46(1-2):97–120, 1995.
[5] A. A. Bovdi and A. Szakács. Units of commutative group algebra with involution. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 69(3):291–296, 2006.
[6] V. Bovdi and L. G. Kovács. Unitary units in modular group algebras. *Manuscripta Math.*, 84(1):57–72, 1994.
[7] A. Dooms. Unitary units in integral group rings. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 5(1):43–52, 2006.
[8] J. Gildea. The structure of the unitary units of the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}D_8$. *Int. Electron. J. Algebra*, 9:171–176, 2011.
[9] J. Z. Gonçalves and M. Shirvani. Free symmetric and unitary pairs in central simple algebras with involution. In *Groups, rings and algebras*, volume 420 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 121–139. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
[10] B. Hurley and T. Hurley. Group ring cryptography. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69(1):67–86, 2011.
[11] T. Hurley. Convolutional codes from units in matrix and group rings. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 50(3):431–463, 2009.
[12] S. P. Novikov. Algebraic construction and properties of Hermitian analogs of K-theory over rings with involution from the viewpoint of Hamiltonian formalism. Applications to differential topology and the theory of characteristic classes. I. II. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 34:253–288; ibid. 34 (1970), 475–500, 1970.
[13] R. Sandling. Units in the modular group algebra of a finite abelian p-group. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 33(3):337–346, 1984.
[14] W. Willems. A note on self-dual group codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 48(12):3107–3109, 2002.

V.A. Bovdi
Department of Mathematical Sciences,
UAE University - Al-Ain,
United Arab Emirates
E-mail address: `vbovdi@gmail.com`

A.N. Grishkov
Departamento de Matemática, (IME-USP),
Rua do Matao, 1010 - Cidade Universitária,
CEP 05508-090, Sao Paulo - SP, Brasil
E-mail address: `grishkov@ime.usb.br`